XI-HC—76

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक Review Pet. No. 61/2007 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

Petitioner Annepu Prashant, S/o Shri A. Narayana Rao, aged about 18 years, R/o Rly. Construction Colony, Rly. Qrt. No.740/1, Near Ganesh Mandir, Bilaspur.

-Versus-

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. The Director, Medical Education, Raipur.
3. Chhattisgarh Institute of Medical Sciences, Through its Dean, Chhattisgarh Institute of Medical Sciences, Bilaspur.
4. Guru Ghasidas University, Through its Registrar, Guru Ghasidas University, Bilaspur.
5. Ku. Sujata Singh, D/o Dr. (Major) T.A. Singh, aged about 19 years, R/o Qrt. OB-1, CSEB Colony, Tifra, Bilaspur.
6. Anubhav Chandrakar, 2nd year, M.B.B.S. Student, CIMS, Bilaspur.

Division Bench :

Hon'ble Shri L.C. Bhadoo &
Hon'ble Shri Dhirendra Mishra, JJ

21-9-2007 (In Chamber)

Heard Shri Utkarsh Verma, counsel for the petitioner & Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक Review Pet. No. 61/2007 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

The petitioner has preferred this review petition for review of order dated 14-6-2007 passed by this Bench in W.P.No.5422/2006 (**Ku. Sujata Singh vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others**). The said order was passed with the consent of the parties and particularly on the background that the petitioner in that matter namely, Ku. Sujata Singh was in the first instance admitted in the first year MBBS course as unreserved Sainik class candidate in the year 2006. However, her admission was cancelled on account of order dated 20-9-2006 passed in W.P.No.4788/2006 (**Paritosh Sonkar vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others**).

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the parties agreed that the admission of Ku. Sujata Singh was cancelled; therefore, with the consent of learned counsel parties the order under review was passed.

In the first instance, we do not find any ground for review of order dated 14-6-2007 passed in the case of **Ku. Sujata Singh** (supra). Moreover, the petitioner herein was not a party in that case, therefore, he is not entitled for seeking review of that order. Accordingly, the review petition is dismissed.

Consequently, I.A.No.1, application for condonation of delay in filing the review petition & I.A.No.2 application for seeking permission to file review petition stand disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge